

Invest in ME – Research International ME Conference 2016

ME C11 2016

In June, 2016, I was privileged to attend the 11th Invest in ME – Research International conference in London - the theme being "Invest in ME - Research".

The conference was held at a wonderful venue, at number 1, Great George St, Westminster. This provided space, and being all on one floor was well to those with ME/CFS. And we could look upwards at the magnificent painted ceiling during breaks. The conference was attended by those with ME/CFS, carers, advocates, doctors and researchers. 18 countries were represented.

The conference was opened by Dr Ian Gibson with a brief overview of 10 years of research, with the comment that research is now finally opening up and we are entering a new dawn. ME/CFS is a global problem, needing much more funding and education. There needs to be a global effort like the United Nations. In Britain a Centre of Excellence is proposed.

The main speaker was **Dr Vicky Whittemore**, (Programme Director in the National Institute of Neurological Disorders at the NIH, where there has been 5 years of research into ME/CFS at the NIH, leading to a new vision for research in the USA. The NIH is one of 27 institutes and centres. There is intramural research within the NIH campus and extramural research going on elsewhere. A national protocol for ME/CFS research is headed by Dr Avindra Nath. They are planning to recruit 40 postinfectious ME/CFS patients (years of onset). There will be extensive analysis using biospecimens. Additional cohorts are envisaged.

The research group was revitalised in 2015, to develop long, intermediate and short term goals, with strategies to support and stimulate research. The aims include: fostering international collaboration, setting research priorities, supplementing grants etc. Research funding is about \$5-6 million annually over the past 8 years, and there is much room for growth. The NIH needs information, and needs to be open to new needs and opportunities. New young researchers are to be encouraged, with patient engagement and support from academia. The long term: research will be expanded, new treatments developed, with support for clinical trials and the aim should be to improve the lives of those with ME/CFS.

Olli Polo (Tampere, Finland) is a pulmonary medicine specialist working in the area of sleep, apnoea and fatigue. He has many patients. His main opening message was how to get GPs to recognise symptoms, take patients seriously and then to refer on to a specialist. A number of the physical signs and symptoms is necessary to make the complex symptomatology of ME/CFS understandable. He discussed the criteria for diagnosis and port and criteria. He remarked that the various criteria tend to make this a diagnosis of exclusion, but it should be a primary diagnosis based on symptoms. He

discussed the past scepticism and focus on this being a mental health issue – or even a figment of imagination! Doctors do need to start to believe in them. Identification of physical signs should support the symptom array to give diagnostic credibility as there are no tests as yet. All systems are affected.

He went on to discuss the physical signs, illustrated by a number of excellent photographs. Clinical signs are more evident in females. He discussed the fact that there are often "opposite" symptom types: lean v obese, hypermobile v stiff, hyponatraemic v hypernatraemic. He pointed out that there is a subset with Ehlers Danlos Syndrome, and quoted the work of Dr Peter Rowe, but not all ME/CFS patients have hypermobility. Many of the photographs then shown were related to the hypermobility of joints throughout the body, skin characteristics, elasticity of skin and muscles, fingernail clubbing, difficulty maintaining posture etc.

He mentioned a pre-phase of the disease – often these patients are "Duracell people" who are interested in everything and physically fit. Research for hypermobility should be part of the evaluation.

He mentioned that the descending sympathetic tone pathway is abnormal, and vital functions are compromised during sleep. Normal "rescue" occurs during sleep. When awake there are then haemodynamic problems – there has been no "rescue" by sleep, and symptoms (including fatigue) are then evident. Some increase in apnoea may also contribute to symptoms.

Carmen Scheibenbogen (Berlin, Germany) then spoke on auto-antibodies and acetylcholine receptors in ME/CFS. She mentioned that auto-antibodies directed against neurotransmitter receptors are causing various types of auto-immune diseases. 1/3 of patients in her specialist outpatient clinic were found to possibly have ME/CFS. Immune activation (increased IgG levels, elevated ANA titre) was found, leading to likely autoimmunity and disturbance of nervous system regulation. A role of auto-antibodies is suggested, and treatment with rituximab may help after 3-4 months of treatment. This drug targets the auto-antibodies. Chronic stress is associated with auto-immune dysfunction. Japanese patients have also been found to have elevation of muscarinic acetylcholine antibodies. It is suggested that auto-antibodies can activate immune cells by imitating adrenaline/acetylcholine stimulation. Various ME/CFS symptoms may be due to overstimulation of the sympathetic/parasympathetic nervous system. Professor Scheibenbogen described the presence of auto-antibodies to molecules in the sympathetic nervous system.

He mentioned the rituximab trials in Norway – some patients had improved and some had no response. Those patients improving had low levels of the auto-antibodies, which then tended to stay low. Other treatments discussed included high dose immunoglobulin infusion and plasmapheresis.

5 days. He uses “Microtacker” to look at mitochondrial mass (number). There are low mitochondria in naïve compared to is also investigating T cell interactions with B cells, and is using an in vitro system looking at soluble factors released. Th3 cell drugs, and much more research is needed.

from the audience asked if there was any legal way of getting rituximab. The answer was “No, we do not yet know enough”. Fluge and Mella do not want it used, because it is really their responsibility and their advice will be based on the law underway at the moment in Norway. Doctors are advised not to treat with this drug outside a clinical trial at the moment.

Tom Wileman (East Anglia, UK) then discussed the gut virome in ME/CFS. The gut contains billions of bacteria, and has a stem, which ignores our own bacteria, leading to homeostasis. It will attack “bad” bacteria. The immune system and microbiome, but an inflammatory threshold may be reached leading to production of cytokines and interferons. This can then affect leading to disease. Many diseases are implicated. A patient may have a predisposition because of host genes (immunophenotype) or contains viruses, which are an important part of the inflammatory threshold. Some viruses live in or on the bacteria (phages) small and large viruses are involved. They may kill the good as well as bad bacteria. The virome and genotype compromise the immune system leading to an inflammatory threshold. The bacteria may then move into the immune system and upset the inflammation. The greater the diversity of viruses, the less the diversity of bacteria. The metabolites of bacteria may be affected thus affect the stem.

need to find the viruses in the gut. The technique was explained with illustration. 100mg of faeces was placed in water, centrifuged to obtain just the viruses. 16 samples of those with moderate ME/CFS have been studied. Each sample gives 2 million reads of the virus sequences. 23 different families of bacteria were found, each with different phages. The imbalance of phages may correlate with disease. This has been shown in inflammatory bowel disease.

Don Staines (Gold Coast, Australia) gave an update from the NCNED (Griffith University) entitled “Receptor identification and signalling”. He described evidence for a channelopathy in B lymphocytes and Natural Killer (NK) cells. NK cell function is normal in our body and this function can be measured in the laboratory to determine effectiveness of NK cells. In CFS/ME there have been shown by NCNED researchers and other groups to be significantly reduced in lysis function.

TRP Receptor Potential ion channels (TRPs) may have a major role in the pathology of CFS/ME. These receptors are located on the body. TRP receptors amplify activation signals, particularly via calcium, to impact gene regulation and other physiological processes.

Recently published papers which reported examination of a large number of Single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs). At least 10 TRP ion channel genes (TRPC4, TRPC2, TRPM3, and TRPM8) were identified to be significantly different in the ME/CFS patients. 15 SNPs were associated with TRPM3, while the remainder included TRPM8, TRPC2, and TRPC4 ($P < 0.05$).

Never before the identification of TRPM3 on NK cells or B cells prior to this study. NCNED researchers identified this receptor on NK cells and B cells in healthy people and importantly showed there was a significant reduction of TRPM3 receptor on NK and B cells in CFS/ME patients.

TRPM3 is a potential for a biomarker.

Researchers also reported in both NK and B cells that had reduced TRPM3 receptors there was also a significant reduction in intracellular calcium levels as well as significant reduction in calcium stores in both NK and B cells. The presentation noted there were changes in calcium pathways that are responsible for important cell functions. ERK 1 and 2, which are pivotal for NK cell function, were significantly impaired, while a corresponding pathway to increase inflammatory pathways was significantly upregulated and many inflammatory cytokines that are reported in CFS/ME patients.

Conclusion was that impaired TRP receptor function and impaired calcium signalling and stores are suggestive of the pathology of ME/CFS.

Simon Carding (Norwich Research Park, UK) talked about the work of the European ME Research Group (EMERG). He was addressing biomarkers, including brain imaging. Looking for cause, making a diagnosis and standardisation of samples is a “together approach” is needed. Euromene is a group of researchers hoping to establish a network across Europe. Euromene will work together. There is a need to look for opportunities for funding. They will build on current activity and feasibility, and investigate a) environment b) microbiome alterations

clinical trials a) Rituximab b) Bacteria based therapy. Coming together is a beginning. Keeping together is progress. Success. (Henry Ford) The Journal of Clinical Medicine has just accepted an article by Navena Navaneetharaja on: The Role of Microbiota and Virome in ME/CFS. An audience question asked about role of probiotics: Answer: A cocktail of these is not clear they may be helpful, but there is scant evidence.

Mady Hornig (Columbia, USA) discussed the programme at Columbia University. She pointed out that there are many primary brain disorders. There is also gastrointestinal comorbidity in brain conditions, including a subset with ME/CFS, and often seen

Looking at the balance of gut microbiota, too. Autoimmunity is modulated by intestinal microbes, so autoimmune responses depend on the composition of the microflora in the gut. There is a mechanism described for a role for altered microbiota in the development of immunity-associated eating and anorexic disorders, too.

Distinct plasma immune signatures for ME/CFS present early in the course of the illness that differ from patterns observed in other illnesses. These phase-dependent immune patterns may have implications for how the disorder is treated and the course of it, so looking at the cytokine network analysis of the cerebrospinal fluid in ME/CFS.

You are trying to tie all this back to the microbiota. Regulation of the processes is critical - keeping things well balanced. So it is necessary to protect against infectious illnesses, and also may be important in promoting key physiologic processes like gluconeogenesis through the TCA cycle as well as brain processes (memory, cognition); however, inflammation that is uncontrolled and lasting long after its initial trigger, has deleterious effects.

Keep progress in our ongoing discovery of these mechanisms. A quote about Einstein probably sums up the progress: from student: "The questions in this year's exam are the same as last year's!!" Einstein's reply, "Yes, but this year all the questions are new." The continuing burden of illness in ME/CFS demands that we recognize that new and more sensitive techniques must be applied to be sure that we have not drawn premature and potentially incorrect assumptions about the pathogenesis of the illness.

Maureen Hanson (New York, USA) leads a large team looking at biomarkers for ME/CFS. She asked the question as to what would be a good biomarker. She provided 4 answers:

1. Need for a diagnostic test to distinguish ME/CFS from other illnesses

2. Need for objective measures for the effect of interventions and drug therapies

3. Need for research

4. Need for research IIMEC11 Conference Report 7

5. Recruitment of participants for research

6. Information that can be used to identify underlying causes of ME/CFS and its major symptoms.

7. Biomarkers include:

8. Reduced NK cell activity

9. Abnormal cardio-pulmonary exercise tests

10. Abnormal brain imaging

11. Biomarkers which need replication.

12. Discussed the human microbiota – telling us that there are as many microbiota cells (mainly in the intestine) as human cells. 13. Protection against pathogens. She cited an important issue of Science (April 2016) – "Microbiota at Work". Gut microbiota 14. Genotypes are associated with disease (e.g. diabetes, Crohn's disease). The question is "Is this a cause or a consequence?" Associated with diet, health status, genotype, age etc.

15. Abnormal intestinal symptoms are common in ME/CFS. Her lab's study of 38 female (30 controls) and 11 males (9 controls) was described. 16. Increased intestinal discomfort compared to 8/39 controls.

17. Bacteria have lipopolysaccharides on the surface and these can be a marker of inflammation when in the bloodstream. They are 18. Elevated in ME/CFS compared to healthy controls. sCD14 levels are also higher in ME/CFS. These findings indicate that 19. They have also performed DNA sequencing of microbiomes. This can reveal the family and sometimes the genus. Operational 20. Units are used to cluster the DNA sequences. A technique called Principal Component Analysis can be used to separate 21. Aspects of diets. However, this did not separate patients and controls, so other methods are needed.

22. Diversity was looked at – the more sequencing, the more species are discovered. Controls show more diversity than patients. 23. Help? Again the answer was that she did not know, and this needs further investigation.

24. Looked at a computational method to identify ME/CFS from the blood and microbiome data. 83% of subjects, ill vs healthy, 25. Identified in this way. The limitations of these studies are:

26. The data are inadequate to identify particular strains of bacteria

27. Do not reveal what beneficial pathogens are present

28. No indication of whether viruses are present

29. These findings can be explained by psychological theories!

Elisa Oltra (Valencia, Spain) presented work on molecular biomarkers of ME/CFS. She said that biomarkers are needed for diagnosis, and are essential tools for the development of effective treatments and preventive programs. She has chosen to work on miRNAs, which are stable molecules present in blood and other body fluids that can be easily implemented for monitoring disease progression and yet have been quite unexplored for the diagnosis of ME/CFS. Their association with disease relies in their function of gene expression by controlling target translation and/or degradation.

30. A signature has been identified for the potential molecular diagnosis of fibromyalgia (FM). Genome-wide expression pro

, urine, saliva and stool at a cost per patient of \$70,000. They have done a wide range of tests including 10 tests on sequencing and 7 immunology tests. He has the expertise and is developing new “devices” for molecular diagnostics. They are paired more with metabolomics and services have been donated by “Metabolon”. The initial pilot data included 3 patients compared to controls (precision medicine) and this was done on serum. This led to a massive amount of data. A lot of very big differences between ME/CFS patients and healthy controls. He provided a list of the 24 largest metabolite outliers.

For example, Tryptophan deficiency was present in one patient. This occurs because tryptophan is consumed during infection and inflammation. Melatonin controls sleep onset. Other examples discussed were biotin and BH4.

He concluded by saying that it is common in research that responses are not always the same for mice and humans, and often mice are used as a model. For example, 150 drugs were shown to be effective in treating trauma in mice, but none of them worked in humans. He was really careful about requiring the use of mice for validating a drug.

Dr. Peterson wound up the conference with reference to the latest findings as discussed through the day. He took more questions and answers from the floor. He thanked the many talented speakers. The conclusion was that much exciting research is now for ME/CFS as has been a tremendous advance over even the past year, with much hope on the horizon.

Thank you to Invest in ME - Research and ANZMEs for making it possible for me to attend this very exciting day.